

**Governor's Forum On Monitoring
Budget Ad Hoc Committee
November 17, 2004**

The Ad Hoc Committee on Prioritizing the 05-07 Biennium Request level monitoring items consisted of Steve Leider, GSRO, Mark Clark, CC; Carol Smith, CC; Ken Dzinbal, ECY; Joy Paulus, SWIMTAC; Lee Faulconer, WDA; Marnie Tyler, WDFW; and Bruce Crawford, IAC. DNR sent no representative.

The committee reviewed the request level budget items and categorized them according to what major categories of monitoring within the Comprehensive Monitoring Strategy they addressed. They were also discussed in terms of their relevance to the Comprehensive Monitoring Strategy, the Governor's "Priorities of Government", the Governor's Eleven Dials, and whether the request level item appeared to be coordinated with other state agencies and monitoring activities. Consensus could not be reached to rank order all of the identified monitoring request level proposals. Consensus was reached on the following items:

- There was broad support to combine the proposal from the Conservation Commission (Salmon Habitat Monitoring \$650K) and from the IAC on behalf of the Departments of Ecology and Fish and Wildlife (Statewide Monitoring Strategies \$770K) into one proposal to be sent to OFM as soon as the principals can develop a coordinated approach, and hopefully before the December 2nd Salmon Recovery Funding Board Meeting. The suggested combined funding package submitted to OFM should be \$1,200K with the expectation that this amount coupled with additional SRFB funding could provide information for a significant portion of the state's non-federal lands.
- Major status/trend elements necessary for future POG reporting and *State of the Salmon* reporting and found within the request level budget include: randomized habitat status/trend monitoring; juvenile migrant monitoring, randomized water quality status/trend monitoring; stream flow gauging; and pesticide analysis. Those items are accompanied with an asterisk in the following table.
- Integration of data across the various agencies and with local government is an important component of the monitoring strategy. Both the IAC proposal (Data Critical To Evaluating Salmon \$1,700K) and the Conservation Commission's proposal (Coordinated Project Management System \$48K) have the ability to improve the overall availability of data for large-scale reporting.

**Governor's Forum On Monitoring
Budget Ad Hoc Committee
November 17, 2004**

Adaptive Management	Compliance/ Implementation Monitoring	Effectiveness & Validation Monitoring	Data Coordination and Information Sharing	Status/Trend Monitoring
PNAMP Funding- IAC \$40K	Hatchery Science Review- DFW \$1,100K	(Addressed by SRFB)	Coord. Proj. Mgmt System- CC \$48K	Water
	Aquatic Endangered Species Act- DNR \$2,128K		Data Critical To Evaluating Salmon- IAC \$1,762K	*Pesticide Surface Water Monitoring WDA-\$509K
	HPA Mgmt System- DFW \$300K		Subsurface Geology-Aquifer Studies- DNR \$972K	*Statewide Streamflow Gauging- ECY \$1,311
				Spokane Aquifer Study- ECY \$300K
				Reduce PBTs in Environ- ECY \$867K
				Habitat
				*Statewide Monitoring Strategies- CC, ECY, DFW \$1,200K
				Limiting factors Analysis- CC \$650K [snapshot]
				Seagrass Monitoring and Mgmt- DNR \$714K
				Rare Species Inventory- DNR \$248K
				Marine Sediment Monitoring- ECY \$396K
				Fish
				*Wild Salmon Monitoring- \$650K
\$40K	\$3,528K	\$0K	\$2,782	\$6,845K